#### **BOARD OF PARK COMMISSIONERS**

#### **REGULAR MEETING**

## Monday, October 11, 1999

Present: June Bailey, James Johnston, Joe McLeland, M.S. Mitchell, Leon Robinson

Absent: William Sanders and Andy Schlapp

Also Present: Marvin Krout, Ray Ontiveros, Dave Barber and Jamsheed Mehta - Wichita-

Sedgwick County Metropolitan Area Planning Department; Blaise Plummer -Law Department; and Larry Hoetmer, Tim Martz, Janice McKinney and Maryann

Crockett (Staff)

## **AGENDA**

President Mitchell called the meeting to order at approximately 3:30 p.m. The minutes of the regular meeting of September 13, 1999, were reviewed and approved.

# PUBLIC AGENDA

Comments on the Riverside Park System Master Plan. Requested by Lucy Burtnett. Ms. Burtnett stated that she had met with the Riverside Park User Task Force and other interested citizens and that the group had reviewed the Riverside Park System Master Plan project priority list developed by the Park Board in February, 1996. She made remarks concerning several of the projects, but commented that the group pretty much agreed with the Park Board list. She said several of the projects on the list that the group had crossed out, such as the overlook structure to view Mead Island and the wooden bird walk in Oak Park, could be eliminated or given a very low priority. She stated that the plan and priority list will be discussed at the next Riverside Citizens Association meeting and that she will be working closely with the Park Board as projects are designed and implemented. She concluded by thanking the Board for their support of the plan.

1. Status Report on K-96 Bike Path. Larry Hoetmer, Landscape Architect, briefly reviewed this item stating that Property Management had developed an agreement with the Center for Human Functioning to acquire right-of-way on the south side of Chisholm Creek. He said the agreement addressed issues such as liability and trail maintenance. He said the Grove Park Plan that was being designed by Savoy, Ruggles and Bohm, PA would also tie into the bike trail. Bailey asked for an estimated project completion date. Hoetmer said he wasn't sure, but he estimated at least one year from project initiation.

2. <u>Discussion of Comprehensive Plan Update</u>. President Mitchell said he felt the Board should have the opportunity to review the Comprehensive Plan with staff to incorporate elements addressed in the recent Park and Recreation Facilities Study.

Marvin Krout, Director of the Wichita-Sedgwick County Metropolitan Area Planning Department, reviewed the item stating the City and County were reviewing the Comprehensive Plan. He said in terms of land use, discussion had focused on future development patterns (where people will be living and working), public facilities and levels of service.

Krout commented that as part of the Comprehensive Plan, a more detailed Parks and Pathways, Park and Open Space Master Plan was developed and adopted by both the City and County in 1996. He said the Park Master Plan did not address current park facilities, but looked at the demand for parks and pathways in the community, both existing and into the future to the year 2010. He said the plan identified a need for small parks in central Wichita, community/larger scale parks in east, northeast and northwest Wichita and a need for a regional park in east Wichita. He said a survey was also completed at that time that indicated interest in soccer fields, athletic fields, neighborhood parks, nature trails, walkways, small parks, picnic facilities, maintenance and security. He commented that he believed the survey completed by Bucher, Willis and Ratliff for the Park and Recreation Facilities Study showed similar interests.

Krout continued by saying that the plan adopted a standard of 15 acres per 1,000 people and identified about 1,400 acres that should be acquired. He said projected costs were \$14 million for land acquisition and \$34 million for land improvements, which averaged out to approximately \$3 million per year. He said these figures did not include Capital Improvement Program (CIP) funds. He said the plan suggested several funding mechanisms. He said after the plan was adopted, a Park Finance Subcommittee was formed to explore and make recommendations on various funding options including grants and donations, dedicated funding, sales tax and property tax, etc. He said other plan elements included partnerships with the school district, Sedgwick County, development community and other agencies and expanding Sedgwick County's role in parks and recreation. He said during the update process staff will look at parkland deficits, as well as parkland acquisition standards and facilities out to the year 2030.

Krout introduced Dave Barber who reviewed changes recommended by the Metropolitan Area Planning Commission, including the following: eliminating references to mandatory dedication fees in lieu of land donations; acquiring natural water and landscape features; eliminate targeting lands in the floodplain; focusing on non-intensive recreational uses; developing incentives and rewards for people in neighborhoods to maintain their parks and de-emphasizing the rails-to-trails concept and emphasizing utilization of land and water corridors.

Mitchell made the following comments. He said there was no program to fund recommendations contained in the Comprehensive Plan and that the City was not even close to providing funds through CIP. He said the Wichita-Valley Center Floodway, with the

exception of a small right-of-way along the north and west sides from Zoo Blvd. to K-96, was privately owned, other than flood control rights. He said the floodway appears in numerous documents for possible recreational use and that it will acquire a substantial amount of money to acquire those recreational rights before those areas can be used. He said use of the Cowskin, Chisholm and Ninnescah Rivers is also referred to in the document and that the same situation is true there, all of those rivers are along private land and that the City does not have any kind of flowage easements or flood control right-of-ways. He said he believes it is misleading to state that attempts will be made to acquire the rights, when no program is in place to do so. He said he feels the Board should also review any recommendations on how land and easements are acquired.

Johnson asked if Mitchell was suggesting that the Planning Commission develop funding options. Mitchell said he believes the Comprehensive Plan should recommend a funding mechanism. He said the Comprehensive Plan stopped short of that with the Park and Open Space Master Plan, believing that the Park Finance Committee would accomplish the goal of identifying funding sources. He said since that hasn't happened, he believes another way should be found to fund plan recommendations.

Ontiveros stated that the Comprehensive Plan was a broad, general document. He said during development of the Park and Open Space Plan costs became a factor. He also mentioned that the Park and Recreation Facilities Study recommended several funding sources. The possibility of packaging a quality of life referendum to increase the sales tax \(^{1}\)4 or \(^{1}\)2 percent was discussed.

Bailey asked why the need for parks in south Wichita was not addressed in the plan. Krout said the need for facilities in the south was included in the plan, but he neglected to mention that.

It was agreed to hold a workshop to further discuss update of the Comprehensive Plan on Friday, November 5, 1999, from 12:00 until approximately 2:30 p.m., location to be announced. Bailey suggested that Marvin Fisher be invited to the workshop.

3. <u>Discussion of Park Board Planning Retreat</u>. Staff reported that the City Manager had requested that the proposed planning retreat be postponed until a new Park Director is hired.

### **OTHER ITEMS**

There was brief discussion on the following items:

Bailey requested clarification on the board meeting attendance policy. Staff referred board members to Administrative Regulation #13, Citizen Participation, paragraph 3. Attendance, which states in part: "Whenever the record reflects that a member has missed three consecutive regular meetings or more than 50% of the meetings during 12 months, the ex officio member shall send a letter to the individual concerned advising the individual that he or she is subject to removal for nonattendance." Bailey said she is concerned about representation from District I.

Park Board 10/11/99 (Contd.)

- Johnson asked about the lights at McAdams and Eastview tennis courts coming on at 4:00 p.m. Staff said they would recheck the timers, that after a power outage the timers needed to be readjusted
- Martz reported that the work along the Cowskin Creek by Phillips Petroleum has been delayed.
- Martz reported that he has requested a Financial Report of revenues and expenses.
- Bailey asked about the facility rental policy, giving keys to renters, etc. Staff said they
  would have a report at the next meeting.
- Bailey asked about City use and rental of school board athletic fields. She said at a School Steering Committee meeting someone made the statement that the City does not pay for use of school board fields. Staff reported that they rented school board fields for various practice sessions and that they would provide year-to-date rental payment figures at the next meeting.
- Martz mentioned that Larry Hoetmer, Landscape Architect, would be meeting with the Lincoln Park consultants within the next two weeks.
- The Board requested information on the capital plan for Grove Park.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at approximately 5:15 p.m.

	M.S. Mitchell, President	
ATTEST:		
Maryann Crockett, Clerk		